Beatrice lawyer says geologist lied

By DAN KENNEDY

BOSTON - The lawyer for one of the two defendants in the Woburn leukemia trial Monday accused a geologist hired by the plaintiffs of lying under oath about his academic credentials.

Attorney Jerome Facher, representing Beatrice Foods Co., said geologist John Drobinski had stated on two occasions that he received his master's degree in geology in 1976, when he in fact received it in 1979.

Drobinski, during his second day of cross-examination in U.S. District Court, did not dispute

the facts as presented by Facher, but denied that he ever lied about his qualifications.

Drobinski has been the plaintiffs' chief witness thus far in presenting their claim that Beatrice Foods is responsible for contaminating municipal drinking water wells G and H. which were closed in 1979.

The case against Beatrice's co-defendant, W.R. Grace & Co., will be presented later in the trial.

In Monday's court proceedings. Facher said that Drobinski had twice misrepresented himself under penalty of

perjury -- on May 29, 1978, when he filed an application to become a certified geologist in Oregon, and earlier this year. when he was deposed during preliminary proceedings for the

Drobinski conceded that he did not receive his master's degree in 1976.

He said he completed his work on the degree in 1976 at the University of Queensland in Australia, and was told by the thesis committee of the geology department that the degree would be forthcoming.

Facher then produced Drobinski's application for certification by the state of Oregon. and asked Drobinski whether he had written on the form that he had earned his master's degree in 1976 even though he had not vet received it.

"At that particular time I did not have the piece of paper in hand, that's correct," Drobinski

replied. "You were trying to tell the state of Oregon you already had a master's degree when you didn't - isn't that correct?"

DEGREE -- PAGE SA

Degree

asked, pressing his Facher witness. ''That's

"That's not correct, sir," Drobinski replied, explaining he considered himself to have earned his dozen considered himself to have earned his degree after com-pleting the work and meeting

with the thesis committee.
"You're saying you had a verbal master's degree in 1976— you want the jury to believe that?" Facher asked rhetori-

cally.

Facher contended the reason for the three-year delay was that the faculty was "very con-cerned" whether the quality of cerned Drobinski's thesis merited the awarding of a master's degree. Drobinski replied that was not true.

weston Geophysical Corp. of Westborough, that he had earned his master's degree in 1976. Drobinski did not deny that tatement.

while the questioning of Drobinski's academic credentials constituted the principal excitement Monday, Facher devoted most of his cross-examination to fleshing out the details of a contention he first offered last Thursday.

That contention is that

That contention is that Drobinski's 1985-86 investigation of a 15-acre parcel that was part of the John J. Riley tannery at 228 Salem St. was conducted with the preconceived goal of finding Beatrice at fault. (Beatrice owned the land from 1978 to 1983 and retains liability.

Referring to reports by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the state Department of Environmental Quality Engineering (DEQE), Facher asked Drobinski if he or any of his employees at Weston Geophysical had investigated offsite sources of pollutants.

Drobinski replied that Weston Geophysical did not investigate any properties other than the 15-acre site, although he added he had read reports of investigations of other sites.

he had read reports of investigations of other sites. Facher's response was that it was not in keeping with the "reasonable scientific investigation" Drobinski claimed to have conducted to have ignored those other possible

sources.

Those sources, Facher said may have included the former said, Hemmingway Transportation property north of the 15-acre site and Murphy's Waste Oil, Whitney Barrel Co. and Aberjona Auto Parts to the south.

The lawyer also pointed to the

The lawyer also pointed to the Industri-Plex 128 property, contaminated with chromium, lead and arsenic pits, old hide piles and industrial solvents.

The Industri-Plex property is rated by the EPA as the fifthworst hazardous waste site in

the country.
Even though the site is more than a mile to the north of wells G and H, Facher said contaminants could have flowed underground from Industri-Plex

Plex.

(Richard Leighton, Woburn project manager for EPA, has said on several occasions that studies show contaminated groundwater at the Industri-Plex has not yet left the site. Underground bedrock structure would prevent the water from flowing into wells G and H even it it did flow offsite, according to Leighton.) Leighton.)

The city dump was another possible source of contamina-

tion. Facher said.

Facher criticized Drobinski for not investigating a drainage ditch running along the east site of the Boston and Maine railroad tracks from the Wilm-ington-Woburn line into the 15acre site

acre site.

A 1980 EPA study revealed that the ditch, which runs through the properties of several chemical companies, contains "moderate to high levels" of trichloroethylene (TCE) and 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA), two the respirites the lawyer said

or the five chemicals cited by the plaintiffs, the lawyer said. Facher pointed out other off-site discoveries of tetrachloroethylene (PCE), another chemical named in the lawsuit, and TCA.

For the first time, the jury learned about a third defendant, who settled out of court with the plaintiffs in state court year

Facher told the jury that, in 1995, the plaintiffs reached a settlement in state Superior Court with Unifirst Corp., 15 Olympia Ave. (for a reported \$1.2 million), for allegedly allowing PCE to contaminate wells G and H as far back as

Yet another possible source of contamination of the Riley site that Facher accused Drobinski of not investigating were two sewer lines running directly

sewer lines running directly under the Riley property.
Facher said numerous companies to the north dump industrial solvents into the sewers, and that chemicals could have backed up onto the Riley property through two manholes—ne of which does not have a cover.

over.

But Facher saved perhaps his treatest emotion for Drobinski's esponse to a 1980 DEQE report, n which debris, gravel and several oil tanks were found direct. y between wells G and H on city roperty.

Drobinski said he had viewed he area but did not undertake a he area but du not under take a ite assessment or take samples of either the soil or the materials ound inside the oil tanks. Facher asked Drobinski

Facher asked Drobinski whether he would have considered it "significant" if he had ound TCE in one of tanks.

Drobinski replied he would ave found it "interesting."

Facher, his voice rising, isked, "Is the date of a beer can nore significant than a barrel of olid waste found next to a vell?" (Last week, Drobinski vent into great detail on how he and dated debris piles on the liley property through the use of beer cans, newspapers and other materials he found.)

Drobinski responded the ignificance of materials found lear wells G and H could not be letermined in the absence of ther information.

ther information.
The leukemia trial involves harges by eight East Woburn amilies that the Riley tannery and W.R. Grace's Cryovac nanufacturing plant, located at 169 Washington St., allowed themicals to be dumped on their roperties

The families claim the chemi-als flowed into wells G and H, which were in use from 1964 to .979, resulting in the leukemia leaths of five children and the llnesses of two children and one

Beatrice and Grace deny that any contaminants on their pro-perties contaminated the wells, and further deny that the chemicals in question cause leukemia.