# Floods On The Minnesota River-Planning For St. Peter 

Group Members

Section: A B C D E

In this lab, we will make a flood hazard map for the city of St. Peter. We will use the 100 -year flood as the design flood level, that is, the flood level to use as a base for planning zoning in St. Peter.
To do this, we need to answer the following questions:
What is the magnitude of the 100 -year flood?
What elevation would the 100-year flood reach in St. Peter?
To precisely determine the 100-year flood magnitude in St. Peter, it would be best to have a stream gage here in town along the river. However, St. Peter does not have a stream gage. The closest gage upstream is at Mankato; the closest gage downstream is at Jordan. We will use the data from these two sites to interpolate the St. Peter flood level. First we must determine the magnitude of the 100 -year flood at Mankato and at Jordan. I will split you into groups to do this; half of each group will evaluate the Mankato data; the other half the Jordan data. Each group will need the following material provided at the front of the room:

1. 2 sheets of semi-log graph paper.
2.2 sheets of arithmetic graph paper.
2. 1 Minnesota River profile.
3. 1 Xeroxed topog sheet of the City of St. Peter
I. Determining the 100-year flood from the maximum annual flood series atMankato and Jordan

Flood records from these two towns are tabulated on the following pages. Remember that the discharge shown (in cubic feet per second, or cfs) is the largest flow level to have occurred during the given year. Thus, each flood is the maximum annual flood. Follow these steps:

1. Study the ranking of the floods. After a quick scan, you should be able to see that the largest flood at each site occurred in 1965 and is ranked 1 and so on. The rank is also the magnitude.
2. Count the number of years of record. This is the value of N used in the recurrence interval equation. A recurrence interval is the frequency of an event (e.g. a flood) of a specific magnitude expressed as the average length of time between events of that magnitude. Record the number N in the blank at the top of the Mankato or Jordan flood record.
3. Compute for every flood the recurrence interval using the following equation:

$$
\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{N}+1) / \mathrm{m}
$$

Where $\mathrm{R}=$ recurrence interval
$\mathrm{N}=$ total number of floods on record
$\mathrm{m}=$ flood rank
4. Make a graph plotting discharge $(\mathrm{Q})$ vs. recurrence interval on semi-log paper.
5. Draw an eye-balled "best-fit" line through the points on the graph and extend this line to the right until it crosses the vertical line where $\mathrm{R}=100$. Read the value off the vertical axis to get an estimate of the discharge of a 100-year flood. At this point, your group should discuss how reliable this number seems to be. How could this number be off? Try fitting two more lines to these points to get a range from a maximum to minimum values.
II. Determining the stage of the 100-year flood at Mankato and Jordan by constructing a rating curve

1. Use arithmetic graph paper to make a plot of discharge $(\mathrm{Q})$ vs. stage. The stage is the elevation (feet above sea level) of the flood.
2. Draw a line through the points on this graph. You have just constructed a rating curve.
3. Use the discharge value of the 100-year flood you determined in part 1 to estimate the stage of this flood on the rating curve you have just drawn. This is the estimated elevation, or stage, of the 100-year flood in Mankato and Jordan.
III. Determining the stage of the 100 -year flood in St. Peter
4. Examine the longitudinal profile of the Minnesota River between Mankato and Jordan. Notice that the profile has been vertically exaggerated; the river really isn't as steep as the profile makes it appear.
5. Plot the stage of the 100 -year flood at Mankato and Jordan at the appropriate points on this graph.
6. With a ruler, connect these points and read off the elevation of the line at St. Peter. This is your estimate of the level, or stage, of the 100 -year flood in St. Peter.
7. At this point, discuss with your group the reliability of this figure. How could this number be off? Calculate a ñ value for stage (i.e. uncertainty). Do this by calculating stages for your maximum and minimum values from graph 1 . Using these numbers, determine the $\tilde{n}$ deviation from your answer to part III-3 above.
IV. Making a flood hazard map of St. Peter
8. On the Xeroxed St. Peter map, draw in a contour line that has the elevation determined in part 111. Do this on both sides of the valley. Complete the map by coloring or hachuring the area lower in elevation than this line. You have just made a flood hazard map.
V. Evaluating the process and making zoning recommendations for St. Peter
9. Discuss with your group the sources of error in the process we just completed.
a. What are the problems inherent in estimating the 100 -year flood?
b. What are the problems inherent in estimating the stage? Would the values you calculated above be of much concern to St. Peter planners? Could potential flooding be of concern to GAC?
c. What are the problems inherent in interpolating between Mankato and Jordan to get a believable stage level for St. Peter?
d. Can you propose a more efficient and exact way of predicting stage and 100-year flood? What errors can you see in the whole process? Is the information obtained using this process worthless?
e. Discuss with your group the present state of development within the floodway as shown on your map. You will find the section in your text book on floodway planning to be helpful.
10. Hand in as a group: the recurrence interval graphs, the rating curves, the longitudinal profile with the extrapolated 100-year flood height on it, the map of St. Peter, and the answers to the discussion questions above.

## MAXIMUM ANNUAL FLOOD DISCHARGES ALONG THE MINNESOTA RIVER RECORDED AT

## MANKATO, MINNESOTA

Station \# 05325000

| Total number of floods (n) = |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | Q. cfs | stage | date | rank |
| 1996 | 28000 | 766.66 | 6/20 | 20 |
| 1995 | 27600 | 766.88 | 4/24 | 21 |
| 1994 | 21,700 | 763.7 | 4/28 | 32 |
| 1993 | 75,100 | 778.03 | 6/21 | 3 |
| 1992 | 23,700 | 765.88 | 3/11 | 28 |
| 1991 | 32,800 | 769.29 | 6/9 | 15 |
| 1990 | 17,100 | 762.97 | 7/30 | 46 |
| 1989 | 15,800 | 762.32 | 3/29 | 48 |
| 1988 | 5,520 | 755.99 | 4/6 | 82 |
| 1987 | 17,000 | 763.74 | 10/1 | 47 |
| 1986 | 36,300 | 770.57 | 5/2 | 12 |
| 1985 | 29,700 | 768.12 | 3/17 | 19 |
| 1984 | 41,000 | 772.47 | 6/26 | 9 |
| 1983 | 33,300 | 770.05 | 4/18 | 14 |
| 1982 | 15,500 | 762.94 | 3/24 | 52 |
| 1981 | 14,200 | 762.71 | 6/27 | 55 |
| 1980 | 15,700 | 763.04 | 6/8 | 50 |
| 1979 | 30,000 | 768.78 | 4/3 | 18 |
| 1978 | 13,300 | 761.67 | 4/8 | 57 |
| 1977 | 7,850 | 757.13 | 6/18 | 78 |
| 1976 | 5,130 | 755.25 | 4/2 | 84 |
| 1975 | 24,100 | 765.12 | 5/1 | 27 |
| 1974 | 12,500 | 760.80 | 6/11 | 59 |
| 1973 | 19,700 | 761.44 | 3/17 | 38 |
| 1972 | 20,200 | 764.99 | 6/9 | 37 |
| 1971 | 21,400 | 765.13 | 3/22 | 33 |
| 1970 | 8,680 | 757.56 | 4/25 | 73 |
| 1969 | 76,700 | 777.01 | 4/12 | 2 |
| 1968 | 15,800 | 762.36 | 7/27 | 48 |
| 1967 | 18,500 | 763.96 | 6/18 | 40 |
| 1966 | 15,400 | 762.36 | 4/2 | 53 |
| 1965 | 94,100 | 777.01 | 4/10 | 1 |
| 1964 | 12,400 | 760.22 | 5/15 | 60 |
| 1963 | 15,600 | 762.50 | 7/24 | 51 |
| 1962 | 39,800 | 769.54 | 4/2 | 10 |
| 1961 | 17,600 | 763.53 | 3/29 | 45 |
| 1960 | 34,300 | - | 5/23 | 13 |
| 1959 | 4,850 | - | 6/3 | 88 |
| 1958 | 7,570 | - | 4/13 | 79 |
| 1957 | 41,700 | - | 6/24 | 8 |
| 1956 | 11,600 | - | 6/26 | 63 |
| 1955 | 8,200 | - | 3/12 | 77 |
| 1954 | 10,000 | - | 6/23 | 68 |
| 1953 | 25,100 | - | 6/10 | 24 |
| 1952 | 53,500 | - | 4/14 | 6 |
| 1951 | 66,600 | 774.12 | 4/9 | 4 |
| 1950 | 12,200 | - | 3/31 | 61 |
| 1949 | 26,600 |  | 4/3 | 23 |
| 1948 | 17,900 |  | 3/24 | 43 |
| 1947 | 20,400 | - | 6/10 | 36 |
| 1946 | 13,300 | - | 3/18 | 57 |
| 1945 | 18,000 | - | 3/16 | 42 |
| 1944 | 25,100 | - | 5/22 | 24 |
| 1943 | 17,800 | - | 6/18 | 44 |
| 1942 | 7,280 | - | 6/8 | 81 |
| 1941 | 11,400 | - | 3/31 | 64 |
| 1940 | 3,930 | - | 6/11 | 89 |
| 1939 | 9,350 | - | 3/24 | 70 |
| 1938 | 11,200 | - | 9/18 | 66 |
| 1937 | 8,400 | - | 6/17 | 76 |


| 1936 | 25,100 | - | 3/23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1935 | 5,100 | - | 3/16 | 85 |
| 1934 | 2,170 | - | 4/7 | 91 |
| 1933 | 13,400 | - | 4/3 | 56 |
| 1932 | 7,400 | - | 6/8 | 80 |
| 1931 | 1,350 | - | 6/12 | 93 |
| year | a. cfs | stage | date | rank recurrence interval |
| 1930 | 9,260 | - | 2/25 | 71 |
| 1929 | 23,200 | - | 3/18 | 29 |
| 1928 | 11,400 | - | 3/20 | 64 |
| 1927 | 12,100 | - | 3/18 | 62 |
| 1926 | 4,990 | - | 3/23 | 86 |
| 1925 | 8,640 | - | 6/17 | 74 |
| 1924 | 3,450 | - | 7/2 | 90 |
| 1923 | 1,630 | - | 5/4 | 92 |
| 1922 | 9,040 | - | 3/16 | 72 |
| 1921 | 4,910 | - | 5/30 | 87 |
| 1920 | 19,600 | - | 7/18 | 39 |
| 1919 | 38,800 | - | 6/21 | 11 |
| 1918 | 15,000 | - | 8/23 | 54 |
| 1917 | 26,900 | - | $4 / 5$ | 22 |
| 1916 | 30,500 | - | 3/28 | 17 |
| 1915 | 23,100 | - | 3/28 | 30 |
| 1914 | 11,000 | - | 6/17 | 67 |
| 1913 | 5,460 | - | 4/15 | 83 |
| 1912 | 8,530 | - | 4/2 | 75 |
| 1911 | 905 | - | 3/13 | 94 |
| 1910 | 20,700 | - | 3/12 | 34 |
| 1909 | 31),700 | - | 3/24 | 16 |
| 1908 | 54,500 | 769.12 | 6/16 | 5 |
| 1907 | 22,100 | - | 6/21 | 29 |
| 1906 | 9,940 | - | 6/10 | 69 |
| 1905 | 18,600 | - | 7/9 | 41 |
| 1904 | 20,500 | - | 10/9 | 35 |
| 1903 | 43,500 | - | 5/29 | 7 |

## MAXIMUM ANNUAL FLOOD DISCHARGES ALONG THE MINNESOTA RIVER RECORDED AT

## JORDAN, MINNESOTA

Station 05330000
Total number of floods $(\mathrm{n})=$

| year | Q. cfs | stage | date | rank | recurrence interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1996 | 31500 | 714.99 | $3 / 15$ | 16 |  |
| 1995 | 29700 | 714.99 | $4 / 26$ | 17 |  |
| 1994 | 22,200 | 713.05 | $5 / 2$ | 26 |  |
| 1993 | 90,900 | 723.52 | $5 / 24$ | 2 |  |
| 1992 | 26,100 | 724.11 | $3 / 10$ | 18 |  |
| 1991 | 33,000 | 715.63 | $6 / 11$ | 12 |  |
| 1990 | 17,000 | 710.23 | $8 / 2$ | 32 |  |
| 1989 | 14,800 | 708.49 | $3 / 27$ | 38 |  |
| 1988 | 5,560 | 700.85 | $4 / 7$ | 58 |  |
| 1987 | 23,900 | 714.13 | $10 / 1$ | 22 |  |
| 1986 | 36,700 | 716.30 | $5 / 4$ | 9 |  |
| 1985 | 32,300 | 715.05 | $3 / 20$ | 15 |  |
| 1984 | 45,300 | 717.54 | $6 / 28$ | 6 |  |
| 1983 | 33,700 | 716.14 | $4 / 19$ | 11 |  |
| 1982 | 17,300 | 711.49 | $3 / 27$ | 32 |  |
| 1981 | 12,400 | 707.17 | $6 / 30$ | 47 |  |
| 1980 | 14,200 | 709.40 | $6 / 12$ | 40 |  |
| 1979 | 32,600 | 715.92 | $4 / 5$ | 13 |  |
| 1978 | 13,800 | 709.07 | $4 / 13$ | 43 |  |
| 1977 | 6,610 | 702.29 | $6 / 20$ | 57 |  |
| 1976 | 5,490 | 701.19 | $4 / 3$ | 59 |  |
| 1975 | 22,900 | 713.77 | $5 / 3$ | 25 |  |
| 1974 | 13,900 | 709.13 | $6 / 14$ | 41 |  |
| 1973 | 21,900 | 713.09 | $3 / 18$ | 28 |  |
| 1972 | 16,800 | 711.48 | $6 / 14$ | 34 |  |
| 1971 | 24,100 | 715.21 | $3 / 24$ | 21 |  |
| 1970 | 9,510 | 705.09 | $4 / 25$ | 50 |  |
| 1969 | 84,600 | 722.85 | $4 / 14$ | 3 |  |
| 1968 | 15,700 | 710.03 | $8 / 11$ | 36 |  |
|  | 170 | 1 |  |  |  |

backwater from ice jam

| year | Q. cfs | stacie | date | rank | recurrence interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1967 | 19,400 | 712.75 | $4 / 8$ | 30 |  |
| 1966 | 16,200 | 709.39 | $4 / 6$ | 35 |  |
| 1965 | 117,000 | 724.37 | $4 / 11$ | 1 |  |
| 1964 | 12,900 | - | $5 / 18$ | 45 |  |
| 1963 | 14,400 | - | $7 / 28$ | 39 |  |
| 1962 | 39,700 | 715.12 | $4 / 6$ | 8 |  |
| 1961 | 15,700 | - | $4 / 1$ | 36 |  |
| 1960 | 36,400 | - | $5 / 25$ | 10 |  |
| 1959 | 3,880 | - | $6 / 5$ | 51 |  |
| 1958 | 7,640 | - | $4 / 15$ | 56 |  |
| 1957 | 40,800 | - | $6 / 26$ | 7 |  |
| 1956 | 12,800 | - | $6 / 20$ | 46 |  |
| 1955 | 7,650 | - | $3 / 17$ | 55 |  |
| 1954 | 10,300 | - | $6 / 26$ | 49 |  |
| 1953 | 23,000 | - | $6 / 14$ | 24 |  |
| 1952 | 60,600 | - | $4 / 16$ | 5 |  |
| 1951 | 64,100 | 717.21 | $4 / 11$ | 4 |  |
| 1950 |  |  | $4 / 1$ | 44 |  |
| 1949 | 13,300 | - |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 32,600 | 713.59 | $4 / 5$ | 13 |  |
| 1947 | 22,000 | - | $3 / 24$ | 27 |  |
| 1946 | 20,400 | - | $5 / 3$ | 29 |  |
| 1945 | 13,900 | - | $3 / 30$ | 41 |  |
| 1944 | 18,200 | - | $3 / 18$ | 30 |  |


| 1943 | 25,900 | - | $6 / 20$ | 19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1942 | 8,400 | - | $9 / 19$ | 53 |
| 1441 | 12,300 | - | $4 / 4$ | 48 |
| 1940 | 3,560 | - | $4 / 14$ | 62 |
| 1939 | 8,500 | - | $3 / 24$ | 52 |
| 1938 | 8,760 | - | $9 / 23$ | 51 |
| 1937 | 8,310 |  | $6 / 22$ | 54 |
| 1336 | 23,200 |  | $3 / 25$ | 2 |
| 1935 | 4,010 |  | $3 / 7$ | 60 |

