Department of Biological Sciences - Poster Evaluation Rubric

First Author Name:_		Judge Name:	Mentor Name:	
Attribute	Below Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Score
Title and Abstract	□ Poorly worded□ Not concise	□ Acceptably worded□ Relatively concise	□ Superior wording□ Very concise	/ 10pts
Organization	□ Poorly organized	□ Clearly organized	□ Well organized	/ 15 pts
Components (including abstract and literature cited)	□ Components of presentation missing□ Components poorly presented	□ Some components of presentation missing□ Components well presented	 □ All components included □ Components exceptionally presented 	/ 15 pts
Quality of Visual Presentation	 □ Grammatical and spelling errors abundant □ Graphics and fonts unacceptable □ Exhibits no innovation 	· · ·	 □ Grammatical and spelling errors absent □ Graphics and fonts excellent □ Exhibits exceptional innovation 	/ 20 pts
Quality of Oral Presentation	 □ Communication skills poor □ Arguments poorly presented □ Knowledge of subject is poor □ Critical thinking skills poor □ Ability to draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines poor 	 □ Knowledge of subject is acceptable □ Critical thinking skills acceptable □ Ability to draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines 		/ 20 pts
Quality of Research	□ Literature review missing□ Scientific rigor missing	□ Literature review acceptable□ Scientific rigor acceptable	□ Literature review exceptional□ Scientific rigor exceptional	/ 20 pts
			TOTAL SCORE (OUT OF 100)	/ 100 pts

	□ Responses incomplete	☐ Responses acceptable	□ Responses exceptional
	☐ Communication skills poor	☐ Communication skills acceptable	☐ Communication skills excellent
Optional: Quality of			
Answers to	☐ Arguments poorly presented	☐ Arguments acceptably presented	□ Arguments exceptionally
Audience Questions			presented
(either during the	☐ Knowledge of subject is poor	☐ Knowledge of subject is	☐ Knowledge of subject is
live session, or to		acceptable	exceptional
posted questions in	□ Critical thinking skills poor	☐ Critical thinking skills acceptable	☐ Critical thinking skills exceptional
VoiceThread/Blackb			
oard)	□Ability to draw on knowledge from	□Ability to draw on knowledge	□Ability to draw on knowledge
	multiple disciplines poor	from multiple disciplines	from multiple disciplines
		acceptable	exceptional

Comments to student: