

AMERICAN MINERALOGIST

Journal of the Mineralogical Society of America

The manuscript noted above has been submitted to *American Mineralogist*. Please accept the responsibility for reviewing it. Duplicate copies of our standard reviewer's forms are enclosed. In addition to answering the specific questions, feel free to add any detailed comments on technical points and effectiveness of presentation that seem pertinent. Please phrase your comments in such a way that the author will realize that your suggestion is meant to be helpful and constructive. Suggestions for minor changes may be made on the manuscript in pencil, if you prefer.

If you will be unable to complete this review within TWO WEEKS from the date of receipt, please pass it on to an appropriate colleague in your organization or return it with any suggestions you may have of alternate reviewers.


The Mineralogical Society of America thanks you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Associate Editor

Note: Please return the form in duplicate with the manuscript.

Manuscript: _____ enclosed _____ separate cover



AMERICAN MINERALOGIST

Please answer each question and add your specific comments. Minor comments may be placed in pencil on the manuscript.

1. Do you recommend this paper, from the standpoint both of original contribution and of effectiveness in presentation, as being appropriate for publication in *American Mineralogist*? (Check one)

- ☐ YES, without change or with only minor changes
- ☐ YES, with major important revisions or additions
- ☐ POSSIBLY, after substantial revision and potential rereview
- ☐ NO, not without complete rewriting or reorganization
- ☐ NO, not acceptable

2. Is the abstract a concise and adequate summary of the paper?

3. Can the article be improved by condensation of text? If yes, explain on page 2.

4. Are all figures and tables essential? If no, explain on page 2.

5. Does the author give proper credit to related work?

6. If new nomenclature is proposed, has approval been given by the appropriate IMA Commission?

7. Which existing or additional material (if any) might be included with this paper in a microfilm file?

8. Did you check the equations (if any)?

AMERICAN MINERALOGIST

9. Specific omissions, errors of fact, etc.

10. Suggestions for shortening overlong manuscripts and for modification of figures and tables.

11. Comments on overall quality, scientific value, and interpretations.

12. Would you like to be identified as the author of this review?

Reviewer's signature:
Date: